Board of Assessment Review Meeting - GEORGETOWN, DELAWARE, May 30, 2025

A scheduled meeting of the Board of Assessment Committee was held on Friday, May 30, 2025, at 10:00 a.m., in Council Chambers, with the following present:

Chris Keeler Director of Assessment

Daniel DeMott Attorney

Anne Angel Board Member
Thomas Roth Board Member
Karen Wahner Board Member
James O'Rourke Board Member

Ryan Zuck County Witness - Tyler Technologies

Call to Order

Mr. Roth called the meeting to order.

Mr. Keeler presented amendments to the agenda for the Board's consideration. Mr. Keeler removed from Move to Dismiss parcel 134-17.00-977.04-S220K, Siobhan & William Goodwin and parcel 134-17.07-92.00-1, Robert Morris; and removed Property Assessment Appeal Hearings - Charles & Janice Vincelette - 134-17.00-48.00-14001 - 39327 Tall Pines Court Unite 14001, Bethany Beach, DE 19930, James Kane - 134-17.00-56.03-604N - 604 N Edgewater House Road, Bethany Beach, DE 19930, William & Barbara Mullen - 230-1.00-42.00 - 457 Bay Avenue, Milford, DE 19963, Susan Laume 234-23.00-251.01 - 32037 Steel Drive, Millsboro, DE 19966, and all property assessment hearings for all parcels for Fairway Cap LLC, ColombierCap LLC, Sandbarcap LLC, VincentCap LLC, and Windstone LLC.

M25-185 Approve Agenda

A Motion was made by Ms. Wahner, seconded by Ms. Angel, to approve the agenda as amended.

Motion Adopted: 4 Yeas

Vote by Roll Call: Ms. Wahner, Yea; Ms. Angel, Yea;

Mr. O'Rourke, Yea; Mr. Roth, Yea

Public

There was no public comment.

Comments

Mr. Keeler introduced the Consent agenda items.

Consent Agenda

A Motion was made by Ms. Angel, seconded by Ms. Wahner to approve the following items under the Consent Agenda:

M25-186 Approve

Consent Agenda

1. Parcel 132-2.00-263.00-52408 – Earlene Workman

2. Parcel 132-6.00-181.00 – Shirley Jenkins

M25-186	3. Parcel 133-16.00-111.00 – Celine Cirano
Approve	4. Parcel 133-16.00-209.00 – Daina Gunther
Consent	5. Parcel 133-17.00-76.00-72 – David Taylor
Agenda	6. Parcel 134-5.00-115.00 – Coastal Bay Homes LLC
(cont.)	7. Parcel 134-8.00-346.00 – Russell Corkle
,	8. Parcel 134-9.00-299.00 – Luke Wisniewski
	9. Parcel 134-9.00-395.00 – Dawn Crowe
	10. Parcel 134-12.00-1066.00 – Matthew Behornar
	11. Parcel 134-13.00-1134.00 – Bernard Servagno TTEE
	12. Parcel 134-13.00-1325.00 – Sandcastle LLC
	13. Parcel 134-13.00-1331.00 – Sandra Pianalto
	14. Parcel 134-13.12-29.00 – Mark Caplan
	15. Parcel 134-13.20-177.00-1 – Steven Fruin
	16. Parcel 134-17.00-41.00-55032 - John & Sumie Emory
	17. Parcel 134-17.00-750.00 – David Bement
	18. Parcel 134-17.08-48.00 – 123 Oakwood St LLC
	19. Parcel 134-18.00-238.00-TH85 – James Rottenberg
	20. Parcel 230-6.00-8.00 – Anne Gryczon
	21. Parcel 230-12.00-16.00 – David & Carolyn Wilson
	22. Parcel 230-12.00-23.00 – David & Carolyn Wilson
	23. Parcel 230-17.00-182.00 – John Dilworth
	24. Parcel 230-19.00-14.00 – David & Carolyn Wilson
	25. Parcel 231-13.00-66.11 – Jayne Tamburello TTEE REV TR
	26. Parcel 232-14.00-14.01 – David & Cynthia Mitchell
	27. Parcel 233-7.00-269.00 – Mark Rush
	28. Parcel 234-17.12-97.00 – Margaret Craven
	29. Parcel 234-18.00-759.00 – William & Ave Maria Mulford
	30. Parcel 234-30.00-305.02-61 – Kimberly Plum
	31. Parcel 234-34.00-83.00 – Kenneth Clark
	32. Parcel 234-34.11-51.00 – Charles Clark
	33. Parcel 234-34.11-52.00 – Kenneth Clark Jr.
	34. Parcel 235-8.00-44.00 – Kevin McGhee
	35. Parcel 333-15.00-37.00 – Kansak Enterprises LP
	36. Parcel 334-6.00-151.00 – Midway Realty Corp
	37. Parcel 334-6.00-246.00 – Midway Realty Corp
	38. Parcel 334-6.00-247.00 – Midway Realty Corp
	39. Parcel 334-6.00-248.00 – Midway Realty Corp
	40. Parcel 334-6.00-249.00 – Midway Realty Corp
	41. Parcel 334-13.20-173.00-5 – Sean Kelly
	42. Parcel 334-14.05-24.00 – Thomas Brod Trustee
	43. Parcel 334-14.05-25.00 – William & Geraldine Sweet
	44. Parcel 334-14.05-72.00 – Richard Abbott
	45. Parcel 334-14.13-264.00 – Joseph & Heather Hawley
	46. Parcel 334-14.17-1.00 – Gary & Anne Klacik
	47. Parcel 334-14.17-106.00-1 – Baltimore Avenue Associates LLC
	48. Parcel 334-14.17-361.00-A – Wayne Steele
	49. Parcel 334-14.17-361.00-B – Wayne Steele
	50. Parcel 334-14.17-361.00-C – Wayne Steele
	on I are to the I may to the order

M25-186 51. Parcel 334-18.00-637.00 – Deborah Harris 52. Parcel 334-20.00-88.00 - GLC 2017 LLC Approve Consent 53. Parcel 334-20.14-251.00-4 - Nelson Marr Agenda 54. Parcel 334-23.06-17.00 – Glenn Krasker (cont.) 55. Parcel 334-25.00-6.00 – Kansak Enterprises LP 56. Parcel 335-4.19-98.00 – Pilottown Marina Inc. 57. Parcel 335-8.00-310.00 – David Cillo IRR TR 58. Parcel 335-8.00-1133.00 – Gregory K Null TTEE of GKN LIV TR 59. Parcel 335-8.00-1148.00 – Keith Howson 60. Parcel 335-12.00-3.11-S-51 – Travis Olszewski **61. Parcel 430-5.00-68.00 – Matthew Swartzentruber** 62. Parcel 433-6.00-14.00 – Leahmond Tyre 63. Parcel 530-9.00-71.00 – Alphatex LLC 64. Parcel 530-13.00-6.07 - Bryan & Jacqueline Pine 65. Parcel 531-8.00-26.01 - Ray Sammons 66. Parcel 533-6.00-113.02 - Halton Johnson Jr. 67. Parcel 533-11.00-454.00 – Edward & Iona Dougherty 68. Parcel 533-20.09-142.00 - Barbara Grover 4 Yeas

Motion Adopted:

Vote by Roll Call: Ms. Wahner, Yea; Ms. Angel, Yea;

Mr. O'Rourke, Yea; Mr. Roth, Yea

Move **Dismiss**

to Mr. Roth then introduced items on the agenda under the section Move to Dismiss.

- 1. Parcel 230-25.00-25.00 Leslie Mitchell
- 2. Parcel 230-26.16-73.00 Leslie Mitchell
- 3. Parcel 230-26.16-75.00 Leslie Mitchell
- 4. Parcel 230-26.20-20.00 Leslie Mitchell
- 5. Parcel 334-14.05-66.00 Cedar Road Associates LLC

M25-187 Move **Dismiss**

A Motion was made by Ms. Wahner, seconded by Ms. Angel to dismiss the to deficient appeals.

Motion Adopted: 4 Yeas

Vote by Roll Call: Ms. Wahner, Yea; Ms. Angel, Yea;

Mr. O'Rourke, Yea; Mr. Roth, Yea

Property Assessment Hearing **Simmers**

Mr. Roth introduced Property Assessment Hearing 134-13.15-56.01 -Michael and Claire Simmers - 650 Tingle Avenue, Bethany Beach, DE 19930.

Mr. Roth acknowledged that the appellant did not wish to attend the hearing, but have the Board make a decision based on the evidence submitted with the application for appeal.

M25-188 Deny Property Hearing 134-13.15-56.01 A Motion was made by Mr. O'Rourke, seconded by Ms. Wahner to deny the appeal for Property Hearing 134-13.15-56.01 - Michael and Claire Simmers - 650 Tingle Avenue, Bethany Beach, DE 19930.

Motion Adopted: 4 Yeas

Vote by Roll Call: Ms. Wahner, Yea; Ms. Angel, Yea; Mr. O'Rourke, Yea; Mr. Roth, Yea

Property Assessment Hearing Anastasia Kotsiras Mr. Roth introduced Property Assessment Hearing 134-13.19-247.00 - Anastasia Kotsiras - 505 Candlelight Lane, Bethany Beach, DE 19930.

Ms. Kotsiras began by stating that her comparable properties were all recently sold vacant lots in nearby communities, each larger than her subject parcel and featuring more extensive amenities such as pools, tennis courts, pickleball, kayak launches, and beach shuttles. The first comparable property sold in 2023 for \$407,000 and was 8,100 square feet. The second comparable, on 686 Collins Street, was 10,640 square feet and sold in 2021 for \$280,000, with a recent Zestimate of \$333,800. A third comparable on Juniper Court, measuring 10,290 square feet, sold in 2022 for \$375,000.

The appellant reviewed properties that the assessment office included in its analysis. She pointed out that one property on Kent Avenue was sold twice during the relevant period—once in 2021 for \$399,900 and again in 2022 for \$759,900. She stated that the earlier sale was not considered and should factor into the valuation. She further contended that the assessment's comparable properties were closer to Route 1 but did not offer the amenities found in her comparables, such as recreational facilities and beach shuttles. She felt that the comparables she provided should be weighed more heavily.

Mr. Keeler stated that, based on the appellant's application and the referee hearing, the Assessment Office did not believe there was sufficient evidence to overturn the proposed assessment value set by Tyler Technologies. Mr. Keeler then turned the floor over to Mr. Ryan Zuck, Tyler Technologies to support the current assessed value on the property.

Mr. Zuck of Tyler Technologies presented the data supporting the assessed value. He stated that the subject property is 0.131 acres and has a current valuation of \$2,787,786 per acre. He noted that the property was located on the westside of Route 1 and that the proximity to Route 1 significantly affects land value. He cited four comparable sales west of Route 1 ranging from \$3,020,465 to \$4,761,538 per acre. Additional comparables located farther west showed lower per-acre values ranging from \$1,368,032 to \$2,280,110, illustrating a value regression the farther properties were located from Route 1.

During cross-examination, Ms. Kotsiras questioned why the Kent Avenue

Property Assessment Hearing Anastasia Kotsiras (cont.) property's 2021 sale was excluded and why the assessment office used only the higher 2022 sale price. Mr. Zuck responded that the earlier sale may have involved a non-market transaction, possibly between related parties. The appellant raised concerns that proximity differences were marginal—measured in hundreds of feet—and questioned why significant value differences were applied. Mr. Zuck explained that sales data supported higher valuations for properties closer to Route 1 and reiterated that amenities were not the primary valuation driver in this assessment model. The appellant concluded by reiterating that the properties she presented were not significantly farther from the beach and offered superior amenities, yet were assessed at lower values.

Mr. O'Rourke questioned if there was anything unique about the properties on Gibson Avenue and Second Street and why they had such a higher assessment value; to which Mr. Zuck explained it had to do with proximity and the walkable distance to the beach.

Ms. Wahner asked for clarification on whether the subject lot was buildable, and it was confirmed that it is. She also questioned whether there was a stipulation offered – to which there were not; and clarified that the appellant felt it is was worth \$175,000-\$200,000.

M25-189 Close Record Property A Motion was made by Ms. Wahner, seconded by Ms. Angel to close the record on property hearing 134-13.19-247.00 - Anastasia Kotsiras - 505 Candlelight Lane, Bethany Beach, DE 19930.

Property Hearing 134-13.19-

Motion Adopted: 4 Yeas

247.00

Vote by Roll Call: Ms. Wahner, Yea; Ms. Angel, Yea;

Mr. O'Rourke, Yea; Mr. Roth, Yea

M25-190 Deny Property Hearing A Motion was made by Ms. Angel, seconded by Mr. O'Rourke to deny Property Assessment Hearing 134-13.19-247.00 - Anastasia Kotsiras - 505 Candlelight Lane, Bethany Beach, DE 19930.

134-13.19-247.00

Motion Adopted: 4 Yeas

Vote by Roll Call: Ms. Wahner, Yea; Ms. Angel, Yea; Mr. O'Rourke, Yea; Mr. Roth, Yea

Property Assessment Hearing Mr. Roth introduced Property Assessment Hearing 134-13.19-248.00 - Louis Kotsiras TTEE REV TR - 507 Candlelight Lane, Bethany Beach, DE 19930.

Louis Kotsiras TTEE REV TR

Ms. Kotsiras requested that all information previously submitted for the adjacent property at 505 Candlelight Lane, also under appeal, be considered as part of the record for this hearing. She reiterated that the value of properties in Bethany Beach should not be determined solely based

Property
Assessment
Hearing
Louis
Kotsiras
TTEE REV
TR

on proximity to the beach, noting that the area offers a variety of activities that attract visitors. She acknowledged higher sale prices for properties closer to the beach but emphasized that properties just one block west are selling for less. She highlighted the availability of amenities such as the free trolley and suggested that these should be considered in property assessments.

(cont.)

Ms. Kotsiras provided an example of market volatility during the COVID-19 period, citing a property at 971 Hawksbill Street, which sold for \$250,000 in August 2021 and resold one year later for \$938,625. She pointed out another nearby lot, also on Hawksbill Street, which sold for \$250,000 despite being close in proximity and larger in size. She concluded by urging the Board to consider these sales and comparables, and not to rely solely on beach proximity in determining assessed value.

Mr. O'Rourke asked for confirmation that the properties discussed in this and the previous hearing were adjacent and identical in dimension, with no known restrictions impacting value. Ms. Kotsiras confirmed this, adding that both lots were buildable and that some lots had already been developed. She affirmed that the valuation she proposed for the subject property was between \$175,000 and \$200,000, based on the comparables she provided.

M25-191 Deny Property Hearing 134-13.19-248.00 A Motion was made by Mr. O'Rourke, seconded by Ms. Wahner to deny Property Assessment Hearing 134-13.19-248.00 - Louis Kotsiras TTEE REV TR - 507 Candlelight Lane, Bethany Beach, DE 19930.

Motion Adopted: 4 Yeas

Vote by Roll Call: Ms. Wahner, Yea; Ms. Angel, Yea;

Mr. O'Rourke, Yea; Mr. Roth, Yea

Property
Assessment
Hearing
Robert
Morris

TTEE

Mr. Roth introduced Property Assessment Hearing 134-17.07-92.00-1 - Robert Morris TTEE - 408 Collins St., Bethany Beach, DE 19930.

Mr. Roth acknowledged that the appellant did not wish to attend the hearing, but have the Board make a decision based on the evidence submitted with the application for appeal.

M25-192 Deny Property Hearing 134-17.07-

92.00-1

A Motion was made by Mr. O'Rourke, seconded by Ms. Angel to deny Property Assessment Hearing 134-17.07-92.00-1 - Robert Morris TTEE - 408 Collins St., Bethany Beach, DE 19930.

Motion Adopted: 4 Yeas

Vote by Roll Call: Ms. Wahner, Yea; Ms. Angel, Yea;

Mr. O'Rourke, Yea; Mr. Roth, Yea

Property Assessment Hearing Wisniewski withdrawn Mr. Roth stated that Property Assessment Hearing 134-9.00-241.00. John & Kathleen Wisniewski, 38210 Martins Way Ocean View, De 19930 has been withdrawn.

Property
Assessment
Hearing
Jeffrey &
Cheryl
Kitchen

Mr. Roth introduced Property Assessment Hearing 334-11.00-329.00 - Jeffrey & Cheryl Kitchen TTEE - 31638 Exeter Way, Lewes, DE 19958.

& Mr. Kitchen noted that upon reviewing the 18 comparable properties (comps) provided by Tyler Technologies, only one parcel was located on his street. That property was listed with three bedrooms and two baths, totaling six rooms, though its layout included a study, kitchen, dining room, and family room, leading the appellant to question the methodology used in room counts.

He also pointed out that only two of the comparable parcels were built in the same year as his own, and 61% were built by a different builder, which he emphasized could mean variations in construction quality. Five of the properties were classified as Cape Cod or ranch styles; however, the appellant observed that these homes had window treatments on the second level, indicating livable space and, in his opinion, they should have been classified as conventional two-story homes.

He suggested that more accurate comps may exist in nearby communities such as Outer Banks and Carla Grove, which include homes by the same builder and of similar style. In support of his argument, the appellant referenced two comparable Schell-built Whimbrel models on his street. All three homes—including his own—were built the same year, by the same builder, and shared the same footprint. However, the other two homes had larger second levels or finished basements, while the appellant's home had a smaller elevation.

The appellant shared the reassessed values for the comparable homes: one was reassessed at approximately \$161.25 per square foot, and the other at approximately \$173.42 per square foot, while his own property was assessed at \$242.81 per square foot. He requested that Tyler Technologies review their valuation methodology for those two properties in comparison to his, suggesting the possibility of discrepancies.

He also mentioned an inability to obtain planning and zoning sheets from Schell Brothers for the other two parcels, which limited his ability to perform additional research. Despite efforts, he was unable to locate this information through the county. He requested the Board to consider a reevaluation of the methodology used in valuing his property. He requested the Board consider a reevaluation of the methodology used in valuing his property and to allow access to the comps used for the two parcels on his street.

Property
Assessment
Hearing
Jeffrey &
Cheryl
Kitchen
(cont.)

Mr. O'Rourke confirmed that the appellant's property and the two others were Whimbrel models, with variations in elevation and square footage. The appellant clarified that his home was the smallest model with elevation & A, while the others had larger second floors with elevations C and D. He also confirmed that the homes on nearby streets were built by different developers and were different models.

Mr. Keeler stated that, based on the appellant's application and the referee hearing, the Assessment Office offered a stipulation agreement that would have adjusted the assessed value of the subject property to \$668,200. However, the appellant did not accept the offer. Mr. Keeler then turned the floor over to Mr. Ryan Zuck, Tyler Technologies to support the value.

Mr. Ryan Zuck of Tyler Technologies, stated that sales comparables were based on sales between January 1, 2021, and June 30, 2023, with a valuation date of July 1, 2023. He explained that although some homes may be listed as ranches, the existence of upper-level windows is accounted for by separating loft areas in their system. Mr. Zuck stated that the subject property, with 2,430 square feet, was currently assessed at \$274.98 per square foot. He presented several sales within the Coastal Club community that sold within the valuation period, with adjusted price-per-square-foot values ranging from \$307.89 to \$343.00. He noted that the appellant's property is assessed below both the average and median values of comparable properties.

Mr. Zuck addressed questions regarding basement quality and explained that finished basements are assessed differently depending on their level of finish, with finished basements reflecting the same quality as the main living areas being valued higher. He further clarified that total room count is a descriptive field and does not influence valuation.

Mr. Kitchen requested access to the comps used for Parcels 312 and 330 to which Mr. Keeler stated he would email it. Mr. Kitchen reaffirmed his position that he seeks fairness in the assessment, not to challenge or affect neighbors' valuations. He indicated willingness to follow up at a future time.

M25-193 Close Record Property Hearing 334-11.00-329.00

A Motion was made by Ms. Wahner, seconded by Ms. Angel to close the record on Property Assessment Hearing 334-11.00-329.00 - Jeffrey & Cheryl Kitchen TTEE - 31638 Exeter Way, Lewes, DE 19958.

Motion Adopted: 4 Yeas

Vote by Roll Call: Ms. Wahner, Yea; Ms. Angel, Yea;

Mr. O'Rourke, Yea; Mr. Roth, Yea

M25-194

Approve Property

A Motion was made by Mr. O'Rourke, seconded by Ms. Wahner to approve the appeal of Property Assessment Hearing 334-11.00-329.00 - Jeffrey & Cheryl Kitchen TTEE - 31638 Exeter Way, Lewes, DE 19958.

Hearing

334-11.00-329.00

Motion Adopted: 4 Yeas

Vote by Roll Call: Ms. Wahner, Yea; Ms. Angel, Yea;

Mr. O'Rourke, Yea; Mr. Roth, Yea

Property Assessment Hearing 206 Mr. Roth introduced Property Assessment Hearing 334-14.17-379.00 - 206 Scarborough Ave. LLC - 206 Scarborough Ave. Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971.

Scar-

borough Ave. LLC Mr. Roth acknowledged that the appellant did not wish to attend the hearing, but have the Board make a decision based on the evidence submitted with the application for appeal.

M25-195 Deny Property Hearing 334-14.17-

379.00

A Motion was made by Mr. O'Rourke, seconded by Ms. Angel to deny the appeal of Property Assessment Hearing 334-14.17-379.00 - 206 Scarborough Ave. LLC - 206 Scarborough Ave. Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971.

Motion Adopted: 4 Yeas

Vote by Roll Call: Ms. Wahner, Yea; Ms. Angel, Yea;

Mr. O'Rourke, Yea; Mr. Roth, Yea

Property
Assessment
Hearing
Mary
Patrick

O'Donovan

Mr. Roth introduced Property Assessment Hearing - 334-20.09-53.00 - Mary & Patrick O'Donovan - 20594 Fisher St. Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971.

Ms. O'Donovan began by providing a preface regarding the property and market conditions during the period from 2021 to mid-2023, noting significant changes due to the pandemic. They observed that many new residents moved to the area during this time, increasing rentals in the neighborhood. The appellant described personal circumstances, including building a new home to address flooding issues and accommodate a handicapped family member, and highlighted that several neighboring properties have structures close to the property line. She expressed concern over discrepancies in property data, specifically regarding square footage and basement status, and argued that these factors, along with the high number of rental properties nearby, negatively impact market value. She also noted the influence of the current 7% interest rate on market conditions and the potential for a market decline.

Following the appellant's statement, Mr. Roth asked clarifying questions, confirming that the property is on a slab rather than having a basement and is located outside Rehoboth Beach city limits, in the "Forgotten Mile" area. There was also discussion regarding tax assessment procedures related to

Property
Assessment
Hearing
Mary &
Patrick
O'Donovan
(cont.)

recent renovations and certificate of occupancy.

Mr. Keeler stated that, based on the appellant's application and the referee & hearing, the Assessment Office offered a stipulation agreement that would have adjusted the assessed value of the subject property to \$1,807,600. However, the appellant did not accept the offer. Mr. Keeler then turned the floor over to Mr. Ryan Zuck, Tyler Technologies to support the value.

Mr. Ryan Zuck from Tyler Technologies provided a detailed valuation of the subject property located at 20594 Fisher Street. He stated that the home, built in 2024 with 3,474 square feet, was assessed based on comparable sales between January 2021 and June 2023, adjusted for time. Comparable properties ranged in size and price per square foot, with the subject property's assessed value totaling \$1,803,700 after adjustment for a one-car garage and lack of a traditional basement. Mr. Zuck explained that the main livable area is the second floor, with the "basement" level referring to the garage and lower living areas.

Ms. O'Donovan expressed skepticism about the use of comparable sales data from 2021-2023, citing current market changes and the impact of high interest rates on buyer behavior. She suggested that actual market conditions might result in lower sale prices and future tax appeals. The appellant also commented on the high proportion of rental properties in their neighborhood and their effect on valuation.

Mr. O'Rourke asked further questions regarding the land valuation and comparables. Mr. Zuck confirmed that land sales in the area support the assessed land value and acknowledged the significant price difference between the subject property's location and more central or waterfront areas.

Ms. Angel questioned whether Tyler Technologies went back and amended the assessment based on the trigger of the Certificate of Occupancy being obtained. Mr. Zuck confirmed that the current assessment reflects the new construction value, rolled back to the valuation date of July 1, 2023. The appellant concluded by emphasizing the importance of considering current market trends and the potential for increased sales activity to affect valuations going forward.

M25-196 Close Record Property Hearing 334-20.09-53.00 A Motion was made by Ms. Wahner, seconded by Ms. Angel to close the record on Property Assessment Hearing 334-20.09-53.00 - Mary & Patrick O'Donovan - 20594 Fisher St. Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971.

Motion Adopted: 4 Yeas

Vote by Roll Call: Ms. Wahner, Yea; Ms. Angel, Yea;

Mr. O'Rourke, Yea; Mr. Roth, Yea

M25 – 197 Approve Property Hearing 334-20.09-53.00 FAILED A Motion was made by Ms. Wahner to approve the appeal. There was no second so the Motion failed.

M25-198 Deny Property Hearing 334-20.09-

53.00

A Motion was made Ms. Angel, seconded by Mr. O'Rourke to deny the appeal for Property Assessment Hearing 334-20.09-53.00 - Mary & Patrick O'Donovan - 20594 Fisher St. Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971.

Motion Adopted:

3 Yeas; 1 Nay

Vote by Roll Call:

Ms. Wahner, Nay; Ms. Angel, Yea; Mr. O'Rourke, Yea; Mr. Roth, Yea

Property Hearing Rhona Prescott Mr. Roth introduced Property Assessment Hearing 335-4.20-160.00, Rhona Prescott, 14 Cedar Street Lewes, DE 19958.

Mr. Roth acknowledged that the appellant did not wish to attend the hearing, but have the Board make a decision based on the evidence submitted with the application for appeal.

Mr. Keeler stated that, based on the appellant's application and the referee hearing, the Assessment Office offered a stipulation agreement that would have adjusted the assessed value of the subject property to \$630,900. However, the appellant did not accept the offer. Mr. Keeler then turned the floor over to Mr. Ryan Zuck, Tyler Technologies to support the value.

Mr. Ryan Zuck from Tyler Technologies provided testimony supporting the assessed value. He described the subject property as a small cottage of 668 square feet, built in the 1942 on a 0.052-acre lot. Comparable properties were presented, including one at 100 Cedar Street, built in 2015 with 618 square feet, sold after the date of value for \$610,000; a Cape Cod style home at 214 Savannah Road, built in 1946 with 1,280 square feet, sold for \$936,359 time adjusted; and several other nearby properties with various sizes, ages, and sale prices. Mr. Zuck noted that the smaller size of the subject property results in a higher price per square foot and affirmed that the assessed value is consistent with market data.

Ms. Wahner questioned Mr. Zuck regarding the grading of the subject property as "D Plus," which he explained referred to the lower quality of construction typical of an older, modest cottage with a shallow roof pitch.

Ms. Angel then raised questions regarding the comparable property at 100 Cedar Street, which is a condominium-type ownership with two dwellings sharing the lot. Mr. Zuck clarified that it is a small, detached home sharing

Property Hearing

common elements with another structure on the same property.

Rhona Prescott

(cont.)

Mr. O'Rourke inquired whether the subject lot, being only 40 by 55 feet, is substandard compared to other lots in the area. Mr. Zuck confirmed the lot is smaller than typical and that its size impacts value, but he did not have knowledge regarding zoning or rebuilding restrictions.

M25-199

Close Record **Property** A Motion was made by Ms. Angel, seconded by Ms. Wahner to close the record for Property Assessment Hearing 335-4.20-160.00 - Rhona Prescott -14 Cedar Street Lewes, DE 19958.

Hearing

335-4.20-160.00

Motion Adopted: 4 Yeas

Vote by Roll Call:

Ms. Wahner, Yea; Ms. Angel, Yea; Mr. O'Rourke, Yea; Mr. Roth, Yea

M25-200 **Approve Property** A Motion was made by Mr. O'Rourke, seconded by Ms. Angel to approve the appeal for Property Assessment Hearing 335-4.20-160.00 - Rhona Prescott - 14 Cedar Street Lewes, DE 19958.

Hearing 335-4.20-

160.00

Motion Adopted: 4 Yeas

Vote by Roll Call:

Ms. Wahner, Yea; Ms. Angel, Yea; Mr. O'Rourke, Yea; Mr. Roth, Yea

M25-201 Adjourn A Motion was made by Ms. Wahner, seconded by Ms. Angel to adjourn at 12:08 p.m.

Motion Adopted: 4 Yeas

Vote by Roll Call: Ms. Wahner, Yea; Ms. Angel, Yea;

Mr. O'Rourke, Yea; Mr. Roth, Yea

Respectfully submitted,

Bobbi Albright Recording Secretary

{An audio recording of this meeting is available on the County's website.}